

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1459 Advancing Veginia 22313-1450 www.napo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,324		06/22/2001	Juha Punnonen	0169.310US	7238	
30560	7590	09/23/2003				
	9/888,324 06/22/2001 Juha Punnonen 10560 7590 09/23/2003 MAXYGEN, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 515 GALVESTON DRIVE	EXAMI	EXAMINER			
515 GALV	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 515 GALVESTON DRIVE RED WOOD CITY, CA 94063		FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN			
RED WOO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1634	,	
				DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/888,324	PUNNONEN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jeffrey Fredman	1634
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply vill, by statutely preceived by the Office later than three months after the mailin patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
. 1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.
_	n of Claims	rtion	
	Claim(s) <u>259-381</u> is/are pending in the applicaller a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		·
_	, , ,	without consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Man alastina sassilassassat	
Applicatio	Claim(s) <u>259-381</u> are subject to restriction and In Papers	i/or election requirement.	
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)∏ TI	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 🛚 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) <u></u>	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1	. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
	Certified copies of the priority document		
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bute the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)□ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been rec	eived.
Attachment(
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trac TOL-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 10

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 259-301, 347, 368, drawn to polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 302-346, 348-367, 381, drawn to nucleic acids, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- III. Claim 369, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claim 370, drawn to methods of producing polypeptides, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- Claims 371-380, drawn to gene therapy methods, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Inventions in Groups I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the products of each Group differ in structure, function and effect, have different modes of operation, and yield different results. Specifically, the proteins of Group I are polymers composed of amino acids which have specific inhibitory or activating activities based upon their three dimensional folded structure and act to effect the retinoic acid pathway directly. These molecules can be used for further screening assays or for enzymatic assays on

Art Unit: 1634

protein function. The nucleic acids of Group II have an entirely different chemical structure, being composed of nucleotides, and operate by hybridization and by serving as a information template. Finally, the antibodies of Group III are specific binding molecules which differ in structure and function from both the nucleic acids of Group II and the proteins of Group I since the antibodies function by specific interaction of VDJ domains to specifically interact and detect another molecule.

- 2. Inventions in Group I and in Groups IV and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the protein product can be made by the expression method of Group IV, the gene therapy method of Group V or by chemical synthesis.
- 3. Inventions in Group II and in Groups IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acid of Group II can be used in the expression method of Group IV, in the gene therapy method of Group V, in nucleic acid hybridization methods, in amplification methods, or in nucleic acid purification methods.

Art Unit: 1634

4. Inventions in Groups III, IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because the antibody of Group III is not made or used in the methods of Groups IV and V. Groups IV and V differ in function, operation and effect, since Group V results in a treatment which induces T-cell proliferation or in immune response while the Group IV method results in an expressed nucleic acid.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Also, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to on September 15, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1634

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634